

## EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT

OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20503

June 6, 1979

MEMORANDUM FOR:

ZBIGNIEW BRZEZINSKI

FROM:

Jim McIntyre

SUBJECT:

Strategic Program Issues

SECULE HAS SEEN

Tentative Decisions and reasons:

Selection of missile size and configuration.

During the strategic program review last year OMB raised the issue of whether a common missile (Air Force and Navy) should be developed in order to save money.

After a thorough review in cooperation with Department of Defense and other agencies we have concluded that selection of a common missile design would not be wise. While there is clearly the possibility of substantial savings, over \$2 billion, other factors outweigh this consideration.

These include:

- a. savings are possible but far from assured given institutional (inter-service) and technical considerations
- b. technical-operational risks increase because of commonality thus reducing some of the inherent stability
- c. schedule delay of at least one year in the initial development of the land based option
- need to surrender the opportunity to deploy full MX (190,000 lbs.)
  - political considerations

JECLASSIFIED IN FULL Authority: EO 13526

Chief, Records & Declass Div, WHS

Date:

JAN 3 0 2016

15-m-1665

Declaselly Di

plusalficution on

SEC BEN CONTR No. 202819

- 2. It continues to be necessary to have a survivable, verifiable land based missile force in order to preserve the triad. It continues to present the Soviets with three long term problems in terms of negating US forces. In addition, it displays US determination to maintain a strong deterrance while improving both the Soviet's incentive for large reductions in SALT III and the ability to make reductions that are balanced and thus inherently stable.
- 3. The remaining critical issue is the land basing mode for such a system. The selection of the mode is the most difficult aspect of the decision. It is critical that the system be designed to be survivable (secure) and verifiable. In addition, the deployment mode must meet environmental considerations, be cost-effective, be capable of expansion and contraction, meet perceptual needs (Congress, public, Allies) and meet SALT constraints (both offense and defense limits).

Because of the complexity of the issue, the inherent trade-offs that must be made in its selection and the large number of mobile launcher variants that must be considered it is premature to make the choice now. Besides the need for assessing the alternatives against the mutually conflicting criteria presented above there are still a number of questions that must be answered about key options; including technical problems, impact on schedule, land use and cost. We are confident that these questions can be answered in the next

4. A note on cost of the system cannot be determined until the basing mode is selected. However, if the 10 year investment cost is between \$30-35 billion (1980\$), the program will clearly be manageable within the currently projected DOD budget, even at the acquisition "peaks." Even with the deployment of an MX, cruise missiles, and TRIDENT, these annual totals will remain in the \$11-14B range (constant FY 80 dollars), or less than 10% of projected totals.

TOP SECRET

Office of the Secretary Chief, RDD, ESD, WI	of Defense	<i>รุง.</i> ร.८ <sup>§</sup> 552
Date: 30 Jan 2016	Authority:	EO 13526
Declassify: k	Deny in Fu	ll:
Declassify in Part:	,	
Reason:	<del></del>	
MDR: 15 -M-166	5	

DECLASSIFIED IN FULL. Authority: EO 13526 Chief, Records & Declass Div, WHS

JAN 3 0 2016